

On June 14 and July 5, 1943, no claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

1223. Adulteration and misbranding of lubricating jelly. U. S. v. 1,120 Tubes of Lubricating Jelly (and 11 other seizure actions against lubricating jelly.) Decrees of condemnation. Portion of product ordered released under bond; remainder ordered destroyed. (F. D. C. Nos. 8574, 8632, 8718, 8728, 8917, 8964, 9018, 9064, 9139, 9175, 9220, 9221. Sample Nos. 189-F, 301-F, 5574-F, 13164-F, 13171-F, 18376-F, 25108-F, 25156-F, 25411-F, 25564-F, 29459-F, 29465-F, 31374-F, 32234-F, 32240-F, 32315-F.)

This product was contaminated with living micro-organisms.

Between October 15, 1942, and January 23, 1943, the United States attorneys for the Eastern District of Virginia, the Southern District of Georgia, the Southern District of Ohio, the Western District of Washington, the Northern District of Illinois, and the Northern District of New York filed libels against the following quantities of lubricating jelly: 1,744 tubes and 480 packages at Richmond, Va.; 9,684 tubes at Columbus, Ohio; 1,374 tubes at Savannah, Ga.; 2,822 tubes at Seattle, Wash.; 633 tubes at Chicago, Ill.; and 890 packages at Binghamton, N. Y. It was alleged that the article had been shipped within the period from on or about May 26 to December 3, 1942, from Boston, Mass., by the United Drug Co., with the exception of two lots (480 packages at Richmond, and 1,800 tubes at Seattle) which were alleged to have been shipped by the Columbus Quartermaster Depot, from Columbus, Ohio. The article was labeled in part: "Lubricating Jelly Sterile."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that its purity and quality fell below that which it purported and was represented to possess, i. e., "Sterile."

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements in its labeling which represented that the article was sterile were misleading since it was not sterile but was contaminated with living micro-organisms.

On April 6, 1943, the United Drug Co., claimant for the lots of 5,000 tubes and 4,408 tubes at Columbus, having admitted the allegations of the libels against those lots, judgments of condemnation were entered and they were ordered released under bond for resterilization under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration. Between December 21, 1942, and September 16, 1943, no claimant having appeared for the other lots, judgments of condemnation were entered and they were ordered destroyed.

1224. Adulteration and misbranding of first aid kits. U. S. v. 69 Packages of First Aid Kits. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 11604. Sample No. 54408-F.)

On January 15, 1944, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois filed a libel against 69 packages of first aid kits at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about October 18, 1943, by the Gus. J. Schaffner Co., from Avalon, Pittsburgh, Pa.; and charging that it was adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "Schaffner's 'Little Doc' Jr. First Aid Kit."

The first aid kit contained, among other things, absorbent cotton labeled, (carton) "Schaffner's 'Little Doc' White Absorbent Cotton Sterilized After Packing." Examination showed that the absorbent cotton was not sterile, as required by the United States Pharmacopoeia.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it purported to be and was represented as a drug the name of which is recognized in an official compendium, and its quality and purity fell below the standard set forth therein.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements in the labeling, "Sterilized Absorbent Cotton Your First Line of Defense Against Infection," and "Sterilized After Packing," were false and misleading since the cotton contained in the article was not sterile, and unsterile cotton is not the first line of defense against infection.

On March 9, 1944, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

1225. Adulteration and misbranding of first aid dressings and misbranding of bandage compresses. U. S. v. 104 Cases of First Aid Dressings (and 2 other seizure actions against bandage compresses). Decrees of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond with the exception of 1 lot of bandage compresses, which was ordered destroyed. (F. D. C. Nos. 11174, 12440, 12845. Sample Nos. 49474-F, 58686-F, 60765-F.)

Between November 20, 1943, and July 3, 1944, the United States attorneys for the Western District of Kentucky, the Eastern District of Virginia, and the Northern District of California filed libels against 104 cases, each containing 500,

first aid dressings, at Louisville, Ky., 12,000 bandage compresses at Richmond, Va., and 20,000 cartons, each containing 4 bandage compresses, at San Francisco, Calif., alleging that the articles had been shipped from Worcester, Mass., by the Handy Pad Supply Co., on or about July 22, 1943, and March 9 and April 25, 1944; and charging that the articles were misbranded and that the first aid dressings were also adulterated. The articles were labeled in part: "Small First Aid Dressing U. S. Army Carlisle Model Sterilized," and "Bandage Compresses Dyed Dressings Sterilized."

The first aid dressings were alleged to be adulterated in that the purity and quality of the article fell below that which it purported and was represented to possess, i. e., "Sterilized." The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements appearing on its labels, "Sterilized," and "Sterilized. Red Color indicates back of dressing. Put other side next to wound," were false and misleading when applied to the article, which was not sterile but was contaminated with living micro-organisms.

The bandage compresses were alleged to be misbranded in that the statement on their label, "Sterilized," was false and misleading as applied to the bandages, which were not sterile but were contaminated with living micro-organisms.

On February 26 and September 11, 1944, Albert H. Tessier, doing business as the Handy Pad Supply Co., having appeared as claimant for the Kentucky and California lots, judgments of condemnation were entered and the products were ordered released under bond to be resterilized under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration. On June 17, 1944, no claimant having appeared for the Virginia lot, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

1226. Adulteration and misbranding of gauze pads. U. S. v. 19 Packages of Gauze Pads. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 11630. Sample No. 49793-F.)

On January 12, 1944, the United States attorney for the Western District of New York filed a libel against 19 packages of gauze pads at Buffalo, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about May 25, 1943, from Worcester, Mass., by the Handy Pad Supply Co.; and charging that it was adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled in part: (Package) "100 J-F Gauze Pads."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it purported to be and was represented as a drug the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia, an official compendium, but its quality and purity fell below the standard set forth therein since it was not sterile.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement in its labeling, "Sterilized After Packaging," was false and misleading as applied to the article, which was not sterile but was contaminated with viable spore-bearing rods or cocci.

On February 9, 1944, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

1227. Adulteration and misbranding of sutures. U. S. v. 2,868 Tubes, 2,868 Tubes and 2,868 Tubes of Sutures. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond. (F. D. C. No. 8876. Sample No. 32806-F.)

On November 17, 1942, the United States attorney for the Northern District of New York filed a libel against 8,604 tubes of sutures at Binghamton, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about September 17, 1942, from Boston, Mass., by the Flanders-Day Co.; and charging that it was adulterated and misbranded.

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it purported to be and was represented as a drug the name of which is recognized in the United States Pharmacopoeia, an official compendium, but its quality and purity fell below the standard set forth therein since it did not meet the tests for sterility of solids as required by that text but was contaminated with living micro-organisms.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statement on its label, "U. S. P. Surgical Catgut Sutures Sterile," was false and misleading.

On January 8, 1943, the Flanders-Day Co., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond for resterilization under the supervision of the Food and Drug Administration.